

APPENDIX 1 - REQUESTS FOR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT MEASURES

TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SUB-COMMITTEE (MARCH 2019)

Line No.	Ward	Type of Request / Proposal	Street	Location	Details	Officer Comments
1	Abbey	Signing	Abbey Square	Entire road	Complaint from resident. Cars coming out the back of the Forbury Hotel often turn left out of the driveway and go the wrong way.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: A review could be conducted to investigate signing/lining that could discourage this (and similar) movement. • Casualty Data: No incidents in the latest 3 year period of data (up to June 2017). • Benefits/Impact: Likely improvement in compliance/reduction in confusion. • Anticipated Costs: Low - High, depending on signing and illumination requirements. • Recommended Action: Retain.
2	Abbey	Road Marking	Bridge Street	The 'Oracle' roundabout with Southampton Street	Design and implement 'spiral markings' on the roundabout to assist with lane discipline and reduce safety risks. Reported to March 2014 TMSC.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Casualty Data: During the latest 3 year period of data (up to June 2017) there have been a number of incidents involving injury, however, 3 of these slight incidents can be attributed to lane-changing. • Benefits/Impact: Anticipated reduction in lane-switching on the roundabout and reduced risk of collisions as a result. • Anticipated Costs: Medium (traffic management costs will be relatively high). • Recommended Action: Retain.
3 (NEW)	Abbey	Walking / Cycling Improvements	Caversham Road	South of Northfield Road	Cyclists are unable to turn right out of Northfield Road towards town - they have to navigate Caversham Road roundabout. Upgrade existing pedestrian crossings on Caversham Road (by Northfield Road) to toucan crossings.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: This has arisen from the Cycle Forum. • Casualty Data: During the latest 3 year period of data (up to Nov 18) there was 1 'slight' incident involving injury of a cyclist on the Caversham Road roundabout. • Benefits/Impact: Improved facilities for cyclists crossing at this location. • Anticipated Costs: High - very high. • Recommended Action: Retain.
4 (NEW)	Abbey	Cycle Access	Cheapside	Cheapside / Friar Street	Allow right turn from Cheapside onto Friar Street	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: This has arisen from the Cycle Forum. • Casualty Data: N/A - this request relates to increased access. • Benefits/Impact: Provides additional access options for cyclists. • Anticipated Costs: Medium (TRO and signing changes). • Recommended Action: Retain.

Line No.	Ward	Type of Request / Proposal	Street	Location	Details	Officer Comments
5 (NEW)	Abbey	Cycle Access	Friar Street East	Between Queen Victoria Street & Station Approach	Contraflow cycle facilities to allow two-way cycle flows through the town centre	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: This has arisen from the Cycle Forum. • Casualty Data: N/A - this request relates to increased access. • Benefits/Impact: Provides additional access options for cyclists. Would need to consider how this could be accommodated in the context of existing parking/taxi/bus stop restrictions and the manoeuvring of vehicles around the corner/delivery areas. • Anticipated Costs: This will depend on the extent of the scheme. • Recommended Action: Retain.
6	Abbey	Pedestrian Crossing	George Street (B3345)	North of the roundabout with Vastern Road and Napier Road	Businesses have requested the installation of an assisted pedestrian crossing to the north of this roundabout. A report to June 2017 TMSO referred to this request and an indicated funding contribution by the business community.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: Project will need to consider feasibility of implementing a crossing (bridge structure, forward visibility), traffic impact when considering options, the inclusion of cycle facilities and cycle casualties on the roundabout. • Casualty Data: 1 slight injury in latest 3 year period (up to June 2017) involving pedestrian crossing the road between stationary traffic. • Benefits/Impact: Improved crossing facilities for pedestrians and cyclists, but any assisted/controlled crossing will have a detrimental effect on traffic flow. • Anticipated Costs: High to very high, depending on the solution. • Recommended Action: Retain.
7 (NEW)	Abbey	Cycle Signing	Great Knollys Street		Provision of cycle route heading west from the south side of the station.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: This has arisen from the Cycle Forum. • Casualty Data: N/A • Benefits/Impact: Improved cycle facilities and encouragement of cycling. • Anticipated Costs: This will depend on the scope and extent of the scheme. • Recommended Action: Retain.

Line No.	Ward	Type of Request / Proposal	Street	Location	Details	Officer Comments
8 (NEW)	Abbey	Cycle access	Kings Road	Junction with Watlington Street	Provide ASL at bus lane on Kings Road / Watlington Street.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: This has arisen from the Cycle Forum. This will likely require alterations to traffic signal detection equipment and configuration. • Casualty Data: During the latest 3 year period of data (up to Nov 18) there were no recorded injuries at this location. • Benefits/Impact: Provides dedicated facility for cyclists waiting at this busy junction. • Anticipated Costs: Medium. • Recommended Action: Retain.
9 (NEW)	Abbey	Cycle Access	Market Place	Between Kings Road and Town Hall Square	Contraflow cycle facilities to allow two-way cycle flows through the town centre	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: This has arisen from the Cycle Forum. • Casualty Data: N/A - this request relates to increased access. • Benefits/Impact: Provides additional access options for cyclists. • Anticipated Costs: This will depend on the extent of the scheme and any physical Highway adjustments may be required. • Recommended Action: Retain.
10 (NEW)	Abbey	Cycle Access	Minster Street	Minster Street / Yield Hall Place	Improved access from Minster Street to Oracle Riverside	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: This has arisen from the Cycle Forum. • Casualty Data: N/A - this request relates to increased access. • Benefits/Impact: Provides additional access options for cyclists. • Anticipated Costs: This will depend on the extent of the scheme. • Recommended Action: Retain.
11	Abbey	Speed calming	Napier Road	Entire road	Requests from residents for speed calming due to concerns about vehicles speeding when going to the nearby superstore. Residents say that vehicles do not slow down when approaching the existing zebra crossing and there are concerns about safety due to the increased number of pedestrians using this road.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: It would be beneficial to conduct surveys to assess vehicle speeds and appropriate measures. Speed calming devices could increase noise complaints and will be costly. • Casualty Data: No reported accidents in the latest 3 year period (up to April 2018). • Benefits/Impact: Depending on options considered, traffic speeds could be reduced by speed calming. This could impact public transport and emergency service vehicles as well as creating additional noise for residents. • Anticipated Costs: High, but will depend on the chosen feature. • Recommended Action: Retain.

Line No.	Ward	Type of Request / Proposal	Street	Location	Details	Officer Comments
12 (NEW)	Abbey	Cycle Access	Oxford Road	Oxford Road linking to Hosier Street	Improved access to shared-use facilities via dropped kerb as full height kerb currently in place	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: This has arisen from the Cycle Forum. • Casualty Data: N/A - this request relates to improved access. • Benefits/Impact: Provides improved access to existing facilities. • Anticipated Costs: Medium. • Recommended Action: Retain.
13 (NEW)	Abbey	Cycle Access	Southern Interchange	Garrard Street / Southern Interchange	Improved access to/from Garrard Street junction to Southern Interchange	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: This has arisen from the Cycle Forum. • Casualty Data: During the latest 3 year period of data (up to Nov 18) there has been 1 'slight' incident involving injury, in which a cyclist was involved. The details are vague, so the cause is not fully known. • Benefits/Impact: Provides improved access options for cyclists. • Anticipated Costs: This will depend on the extent of the scheme and any physical changes made to the Highway. • Recommended Action: Retain.
14 (NEW)	Abbey	Cycle Signing	Various	Town centre	Review town centre signing and update to ensure compliance with TSRDG. Locations include: Queen Victoria Street Market Place Town Hall Square	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: This has arisen from the Cycle Forum. • Casualty Data: N/A • Benefits/Impact: Improved directional signing, which could encourage cycling and expedite journeys. • Anticipated Costs: Low (per sign). • Recommended Action: Retain.
15 (NEW)	Abbey	Cycle Signing	Various	Town centre	Improved clarity of cycle routes in town centre	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: This has arisen from the Cycle Forum. • Casualty Data: N/A • Benefits/Impact: Improved directional signing, which could encourage cycling and expedite journeys. • Anticipated Costs: Low (per sign). • Recommended Action: Retain.
16 (NEW)	Abbey	Cycle Parking	Various	Various	Additional cycle parking at key points in the town centre. For example: St Mary's Butts, Station Road, Cross Street and Hosier Street.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: This has arisen from the Cycle Forum. • Casualty Data: N/A • Benefits/Impact: Encourage cycling through the security and convenience that parking facilities provide. • Anticipated Costs: Medium - High (per facility) depending on the type of facility to be used. • Recommended Action: Retain.

Line No.	Ward	Type of Request / Proposal	Street	Location	Details	Officer Comments
17 (NEW)	Abbey	Cycle access	Various linked to Abbey Quarter Development		Improve cycling facilities into/from/through Abbey Quarter development site	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: This has arisen from the Cycle Forum. • Casualty Data: N/A - this request relates to improved access. • Benefits/Impact: Provides improved access options for cyclists. • Anticipated Costs: This will depend on the extent of the scheme. • Recommended Action: Retain.
18	Abbey	Road Marking	Vastern Road	Roundabout with George Street and Napier Road	Design and implement 'spiral markings' on the roundabout to assist with lane discipline and reduce safety risks. Reported to March 2014 TMSC.	Agreed for implementation.
19	Abbey	Traffic signal refresh	Vastern Road	jcn De Montford Road	Councillor has requested the refreshment of the traffic signal equipment at this junction.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: Traffic signals are currently updated on a priority basis, depending on condition/safety of equipment, strategic importance and funding availability. • Casualty Data: No incidents in the latest 3 year period of data (up to June 2017). • Benefits/Impact: Lower energy consumption and reduced maintenance costs. • Anticipated Costs: High • Recommended Action: Retain.
20 (NEW)	Abbey	Cycle Access	Vastern Road	Right turn into Trooper Potts Way	TRO amendment to enable right-turn from Vastern Road bus lane into Trooper Potts Way	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: This has arisen from the Cycle Forum. • Casualty Data: N/A - this request relates to increased access. • Benefits/Impact: Provides additional access options for cyclists. • Anticipated Costs: Medium (advertising TRO and signing alterations). • Recommended Action: Retain.

Line No.	Ward	Type of Request / Proposal	Street	Location	Details	Officer Comments
21	Abbey	Junction improvement (pedestrians)	Watlington Street / Kings Road	Crossings at the meeting of Watlington Street / Forbury Road and Kings Road	Area Neighbourhood Officer has raised concerns regarding the inconsistency of tactile paving at the sites of the older traffic signal controlled pedestrian crossings.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: This work will likely require footway improvement works around the junction, in addition to the installation of tactile paving. • Casualty Data: No incidents involving pedestrian casualties in the latest 3 year period (up to June 2017). • Benefits/Impact: This work would improve accessibility around the junction and enhance the street scene. • Anticipated Costs: Medium, depending on extent of works. • Recommended Action: Retain.
22	Caversham	Pedestrian Crossing	Briants Avenue	Near to South View Avenue	Local resident requested formal crossing (e.g. zebra) to ease the crossing of Briants Avenue. There is no controlled pedestrian crossing along Briants Avenue.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: It is likely that any potential location for such a facility will be a reasonable distance away from the junction with South View Avenue (and the bend in the road) to satisfy the required forward visibility to the crossing. Surveys would need to be conducted to consider whether a crossing in such a location would be sufficiently used. Consideration could be made for introducing imprints at the informal crossings at the northern side, or raised informal crossings that could act as a speed calming feature also, in the context of the proposed 20mph zone. • Casualty Data: Over the latest 3 year period (up to June 2017), 1 serious and 2 slight incidents involving injury, where pedestrians have been crossing the road. There are a number of causation factors, but all incidents are at the northern end of the street. • Benefits/Impact: Improved pedestrian crossing facilities. Potential reduction in vehicle speeds. • Anticipated Costs: Survey: Low. Implementation: Low - High, depending on chosen solution(s). • Recommended Action: Recommended for further investigation.

Line No.	Ward	Type of Request / Proposal	Street	Location	Details	Officer Comments
23	Caversham	Pedestrian Crossing	Bridge Street	Junction of Bridge Street, Church Street and Church Road	Petition received at November 2017 TMS for the installation of controlled pedestrian crossing facilities at this junction.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: The petition update report at Jan 2018 TMS noted the challenges in implementing this facility within the traffic signal controlled junction and the need for traffic impact modelling, which will require external expertise. • Casualty Data: One slight accident reported in the latest 3-year period involving a pedestrian crossing the junction (up to September 2017). • Benefits/Impact: Improved pedestrian crossing facilities and reduced perception of this being an unsafe crossing. Likely to be a significant negative impact to traffic flow caused by the additional pedestrian phases within the signal timings. • Anticipated Costs: Modelling, design and safety audit - Medium. Implementation - High • Recommended Action: Retain.
24	Caversham	Footway and Junction improvements (vehicles & pedestrians)	Gosbrook Road	Jcn Westfield Road	Resident has reported the issue with long vehicles turning left onto Westfield Road causing damage to wall of No.4, due to poor driving. Resident has asked for alteration to island or no-left-turn etc. to prevent this occurring. General concerns have been raised regarding the narrow footway width along Gosbrook Road.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: The size of the island was reduced when the traffic signals were removed from this junction. It reinforces the no-right-turn onto Gosbrook Road and houses illuminated signs. It also acts as an informal refuge island. These factors need to be taken into account if any alterations are being considered. Footway widening may be technically possible and will be of widespread benefit to pedestrians, but will be costly. • Casualty Data: No incidents involving casualties in the latest 3 year period (up to June 2017), which can be attributed to this issue/concern. • Benefits/Impact: To be investigated. Benefits to pedestrians, particularly during school arrival/departure times, from increased footway widths. The resultant narrowing of the carriageway may assist in reducing traffic speeds. • Anticipated Costs: High - Very High. Footway widening will involve reconstruction works, drainage and utility adjustments. • Recommended Action: Retain.

Line No.	Ward	Type of Request / Proposal	Street	Location	Details	Officer Comments
25	Caversham	Pedestrian Crossing	Gosbrook Road	Linking Westfield Road park footpath with the Christchurch Meadows footpath, which leads to the new pedestrian/cycle bridge	A petition to install a zebra crossing on Gosbrook Road was reported to Jan 2016 TMSC. An update report went to March 2016 TM sub, with proposals reported to June 2016 TMSC. An outline zebra crossing design & results of parking consultation were reported at Sept 2016 TMSC.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: This scheme has received CIL funding to enable it to progress to detailed design and implementation. Details of the proposals have been reported to TMSC and Officers have agreement to proceed. Necessary adjustments to on-street parking bays will need to be formally consulted. • Casualty Data: Previously reported to TMSC. • Benefits/Impact: Improved pedestrian crossing facilities. Potential reduction in vehicle speeds. • Anticipated Costs: Estimated £50,000 • Recommended Action: Retain (funding allocated).
26	Caversham	Pedestrian Crossing	Gosbrook Road	Between George Street and Briants Avenue	Request, via Councillor, to consider a crossing facility along this stretch of road.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: Investigation would be required to ascertain desire-lines (popular 'destinations') and feasibility (junctions, dropped kerbs, parking etc.). The type of facility (informal or controlled) can then be considered. • Casualty Data: No incidents in the latest 3 year period of data (up to November 2018), • Benefits/Impact: Improved crossing facilities and increased perception of pedestrian safety. Potential reduction in vehicle speeds, depending on the agreed solution. • Anticipated Costs: Medium - very high. Influences will be civils works (build-outs, raised crossing, islands), any electrical works (zebra beacons, traffic signals and control equipment). • Recommended Action: Retain.
27	Caversham	20mph	Various	Lower Caversham and Amersham Road area	A report to Sept 2016 TMSC proposed a 20mph zone that could cover the Lower Caversham and Amersham Road estate areas. This report was the result of a number of petitions and requests for 20mph in these areas. It was agreed that there would need to be further consultation with Councillors and CADRA, but noted that there was currently no funding for the scheme.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: This scheme is awaiting funding to enable it to be fully investigated (e.g. conducting speed surveys) and to progress to detailed design and implementation. • Casualty Data: This will be investigated, alongside surveys, as the scope of the scheme is developed. • Benefits/Impact: Reduced speeds around this busy area of Caversham. • Anticipated Costs: Survey: Low. Implementation: High - Very High, but will depend on the scope of the scheme. • Recommended Action: Retain.

Line No.	Ward	Type of Request / Proposal	Street	Location	Details	Officer Comments
28	Church	Pedestrian Crossing	Pepper Lane	Between the university campus and Leighton Park School	Concerns raised regarding pedestrian safety when crossing to the bus stops and a zebra crossing has been requested.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: An uncontrolled crossing will be significantly less costly, compared with a controlled crossing (e.g. zebra or traffic signals), as it will not require electrical connections. Options such as a raised table with inprinting could be considered - this could compliment the separate request for traffic calming along the street. • Casualty Data: One slight accident in the latest 3 year period (up to April 2018) where a pedestrian crossed the road behind a bus. Speeding not a causation factor. • Benefits/Impact: Improved pedestrian crossing facilities. Potential reduction in vehicle speeds. • Anticipated Costs: Survey: Low. Implementation: Low - High, depending on chosen solution(s). • Recommended Action: Retain.
29	Church	Zebra Crossing	Whitley Wood Road	Desire crossing line to and from school	Councillor requested officer to investigate the possibility of a zebra crossing for access to The Ridgeway Primary.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: CIL funding has been allocated to this scheme. • Casualty Data: No incidents involving pedestrian casualties on Whitley Wood Road (in the vicinity of the school) in the latest 3 year period (up to September 2017). • Benefits/Impact: Improved pedestrian crossing facilities. • Anticipated Costs: Estimated £20,000 (June 2016) • Recommended Action: Retain (funding allocated).
30	Church	Lining - Keep Clear	Whitley Wood Road	Junction with Tamarisk Avenue	Request received to place a keep clear marking on Whitley Wood Road to facilitate the right-turn onto Tamarisk Avenue and avoid occasional queuing back into Shinfield Road junction.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: This would be a low cost measure that could benefit residents and traffic flow on the main road. • Casualty Data: There have been no recorded incidents involving casualties at this junction within the latest 3 year period (up to Feb 2018). • Benefits/Impact: Could prevent the hindrance of traffic flow on Whitley Wood Road. • Anticipated Costs: Low • Recommended Action: Retain.

Line No.	Ward	Type of Request / Proposal	Street	Location	Details	Officer Comments
31	Katesgrove	Signing	Elgar Road	Entrance from Pell Street	Complaint from resident stating that many HGVs come down the road, probably following a sat nav and trying to get to Elgar Road south. They then reverse the entire road and have caused damage to vehicles and obstruction of the street.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: CIL funding has been allocated to this scheme. A signing review can be conducted to investigate signing/lining that could discourage this movement. • Casualty Data: No incidents in the latest 3 year period of data (up to June 2017) that can be attributed to this concern. • Benefits/Impact: Anticipated reduction in problematic vehicle movements and reduction in risks of traffic collisions/third-party damages. • Anticipated Costs: Low - Medium. • Recommended Action: Retain (funding allocated).
32	Katesgrove	20mph zone	Highgrove Street	Entire road	Complaint about speeding traffic in Highgrove Street by cars using the road as a short cut and because of this a request for a 20mph limit.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: It would be beneficial to conduct surveys to assess vehicle speeds and appropriate measures. Speed calming devices could increase noise complaints and will be costly. • Casualty Data: Between 2008-2018 there was 1 slight accident reported (in 2013), however, speeding was not a causation factor. • Benefits/Impact: Reduce perceived speeding • Anticipated Costs: Survey: Low. Implementation: High - Very High, but will depend on the scope of the scheme. • Recommended Action: Retain.
33 (NEW)	Katesgrove	Speed Calming (closure of the street)	Home Farm Close	Entire Street affected, closure point to be determined	Councillor request to stop speeding/joy-riding by permanently closing the road, potentially mid-way.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: • Casualty Data: There has been 1 recorded incident involving a casualty ('slight' injury) within the latest 3 year period (up to May 2018), but this has not been attributed to speeding in its recording. • Benefits/Impact: This proposal should be an effective speed reducing feature, but there will need to be careful consideration about the closure point and some parking restrictions to facilitate a clear vehicle turning area either side - there are many driveways along the street. The result would likely be a reduction in the availability of on-street parking space. • Anticipated Costs: Statutory consultation low, implementation medium-high, depending on the closure method. • Recommended Action: Retain.

Line No.	Ward	Type of Request / Proposal	Street	Location	Details	Officer Comments
34 (NEW)	Katesgrove	Cycle Facilities	Silver Street & Southampt on Street	Silver Street & Southampton Street	Reallocation of road space to accommodate on-carriageway cycle facilities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: This has arisen from the Cycle Forum. • Casualty Data: During the latest 3 year period of data (up to Nov 18) there were no recorded injuries for Silver Street. In Southampton Street there was 1 serious and 3 slight injuries. These were for a variety of recorded reasons at different locations along the street. The 3 slight injuries were around junctions. • Benefits/Impact: Encourage cycling through the perceived safety that dedicated lanes provide. Improved use of road space, where available. Consideration needs to be made for existing on-street parking facilities and junctions and how the cycle facilities would work alongside. • Anticipated Costs: Medium. • Recommended Action: Retain.
35	Kentwood	Road Marking	Oxford Road	Entrance to & exit from the car wash, to the side of The Restoration PH	Councillor requested, on behalf of cyclist, the installation of some markings to discourage waiting vehicles stopping across the cycleway, and to highlight the presence of the cycleway at the exit of the car wash.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: Assistance could be provided with KEEP CLEAR and other minor lining works. • Casualty Data: No incidents involving casualties in the latest 3 year period (up to September 2017) at these locations. • Benefits/Impact: Potential reduction in cycleway blocking, although this isn't enforceable, and greater clarity of the cycleway crossing upon exit of the car wash. • Anticipated Costs: Low (lining only). • Recommended Action: Retain.
36	Kentwood	Pedestrian Crossing	Oxford Road & Overdown Road	Oxford Road (east side of Overdown Road roundabout) & Overdown Road (near to Oxford Road roundabout)	Councillor has raised resident concerns regarding the lack of assisted (formal) pedestrian crossings at these busy locations.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: CIL funding has been allocated to this scheme. Consideration could be made for introducing imprints at the informal crossings at the northern side, or raised informal crossings that could act as a speed calming feature also, to zebra crossing. • Casualty Data: No incidents involving pedestrian casualties in the latest 3 year period (up to June 2017). • Benefits/Impact: Improved pedestrian crossing facilities. Potential reduction in vehicle speeds. • Anticipated Costs: Low - High, depending on type and number of facility/facilities chosen. • Recommended Action: Retain (funding has been allocated).

Line No.	Ward	Type of Request / Proposal	Street	Location	Details	Officer Comments
37	Maple-durham	Pedestrian Crossing	Upper Woodcote Road	General	A number of requests have been made for improvements to pedestrian crossings (and increased numbers) along the street.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: There are no controlled crossings along the street and a limited number of refuge islands. There would be benefit in considering some of the areas that attract a higher footfall and providing appropriate facilities to assist pedestrians. Facilities could range from imprinting, to assisted crossings (e.g. zebra crossings) • Casualty Data: No incidents involving pedestrian casualties in the latest 3 year period (up to June 2017). • Benefits/Impact: Improved pedestrian crossing facilities. Potential reduction in vehicle speeds. • Anticipated Costs: Low - High, depending on type and number of facility/facilities chosen. • Recommended Action: Retain.
38	Maple-durham	Speed Calming	Upper Woodcote Road		Request from resident for measures to be put in place to prevent speeding, such as a speed indicator device.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: It would be beneficial to conduct surveys to assess vehicle speeds and appropriate measures. • Casualty Data: Between 2015-2018 there was 1 slight accident reported (in 2017), however, speeding was not a causation factor. • Benefits/Impact: Reduction in perceived speeding. • Anticipated Costs: Survey: Low. Implementation: High - Very High, but will depend on the scope of the scheme. • Recommended Action: Retain.
39	Minster	20mph zone & width restriction	Brunswick Street and Western Road	Whole length	Petition received at September 2017 TMS. The petition requested the implementation of a 20mph zone and a 6ft'6 width restriction installed, due to the narrowing at the junction of these two streets and the damage being caused to vehicles.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: CIL funding has been allocated to the 20mph element of this request. The Traffic Management Sub-Committee agreed for Officers to investigate this request. • Casualty Data: No incidents involving casualties in the latest 3 year period (up to September 2017). • Benefits/Impact: Reduced vehicle speeds, but need to consider the impact of the required traffic calming features on residents (potentially increased traffic noise). The enforcement of width restrictions lays with the Police only. • Anticipated Costs: High. • Recommended Action: Retain (funding allocated for 20mph element).

Line No.	Ward	Type of Request / Proposal	Street	Location	Details	Officer Comments
40	Minster	20mph	Southcote Road & Westcote Road	Entire lengths	A local resident has raised concerns about the perceived speeding of motorists along these streets.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: CIL funding has been allocated to this scheme. It is likely that Southcote Road acts as a popular rat-run between Bath Road and Tilehurst Road. It would be beneficial to conduct surveys to assess vehicle speeds and appropriate measures. • Casualty Data: No incidents involving casualties in the latest 3 year period (up to June 2017) where speeding has been considered a contributing factor. • Benefits/Impact: Reduced vehicle speeds, but need to consider the impact of the required traffic calming features on emergency service vehicles and residents (potentially increased traffic noise). Could deter some of the rat-running, though need to consider whether this is an issue that also requires attention. • Anticipated Costs: Survey: Low. Implementation: Medium - High, but will depend on the scope of the scheme. • Recommended Action: Retain (funding has been allocated).
41	Multiple Peppard / Thames	20mph	St Barnabas Road	Extension of existing scheme, northbound, to Surley Row.	Request received for an extension of the existing 20mph zone in a northbound direction to the junction with Surley Row, including a request for speed calming measures along this section.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: There have been complaints about safety, stating that vehicles get dangerously close to pedestrians especially at school drop off times. It would be beneficial to conduct surveys to assess vehicle speeds and appropriate measures. • Casualty Data: There have been no recorded speed-related incidents involving casualties in the latest 3 year period (up to April 2018). • Benefits/Impact: Reduced vehicle speeds, but need to consider the impact of traffic calming features on emergency service vehicles and residents (potentially increased traffic noise). • Anticipated Costs: Survey: Low Implementation: Medium • Recommended Action: Retain.
42 (NEW)	Multiple: Abbey / Caversham	Walking / Cycling Improvements	Promenade Road & Caversham Road Roundabout	Promenade Road & Caversham Road Roundabout south of Caversham Bridge	Installation of dropped kerbs to aid access to Abbotsmead Place and Thames Path	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: This has arisen from the Cycle Forum. • Casualty Data: N/A - this request relates to improved access. • Benefits/Impact: Provides improved access for cyclists to existing facilities. • Anticipated Costs: Medium (per dropped kerb). • Recommended Action: Retain.

Line No.	Ward	Type of Request / Proposal	Street	Location	Details	Officer Comments
43 (NEW)	Multiple: Abbey / Battle / Kentwood	Walking / Cycling Improvements	Thames Path	Thames Path	Convert the footpath to shared-use and undertaken improvements as detailed in risk assessment, including surface upgrade, speed reduction measures and signing.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: This has arisen from the Cycle Forum. • Casualty Data: N/A - this request relates to increased access. • Benefits/Impact: Encourage cycling by providing a pleasant, non-trafficked routes across the town. • Anticipated Costs: High • Recommended Action: Retain.
44 (NEW)	Multiple: Abbey / Caversham / Thames	Cycle Improvements	NCN 5	Caversham	Improve cycle facilities along route 5, or alter route, as part of redevelopment of St Martin's Precinct, including improved signing and additional cycle parking. Diversion of route would need to be agreed with Sustrans.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: This has arisen from the Cycle Forum. • Casualty Data: N/A - this request relates to improved access. • Benefits/Impact: Provides improved access for cyclists and parking facilities to encourage cycling in this area. • Anticipated Costs: This will depend on the extent of the scheme. • Recommended Action: Retain.
45	Multiple: Borough-wide	Signing	Borough-wide	Borough-wide	Sign de-cluttering and consolidation. Following report to Sept 2013 TMSC and release of the Traffic Signs, Regulations and General Directions in April 2016, removal of unnecessary/non-compliant signing, consolidation of existing, including posts. Benefits will be an improvement to the street scene, improved clarity of signing, reduced maintenance costs and reduced electrical costs for illuminated signs.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: This is strongly encouraged by national Highway signing regulations. • Casualty Data: N/A • Benefits/Impact: Improved street scene and clarity of important information. Removal of signs that no longer comply with regulations, increased footway width from removal of unnecessary poles, reduced maintenance and electrical costs relating to illuminated signs. • Anticipated Costs: Per sign/post cost - Low. • Recommended Action: Retain.
46 (NEW)	Multiple: Borough-wide	20mph scheme	Various		Roll out 20mph where appropriate to reduce road accidents and encourage cycling	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: This has arisen from the Cycle Forum. • Casualty Data: N/A - this would need to be considered per area/street. • Benefits/Impact: Improved perception of safety for all Highway users. • Anticipated Costs: This will depend on the size of the scheme and the traffic calming features that may be required in the area. • Recommended Action: Retain.

Line No.	Ward	Type of Request / Proposal	Street	Location	Details	Officer Comments
47	Multiple: Caversham / Thames	Pedestrian Crossing	Henley Road	Junction of Henley Road, Peppard Road, Prospect Street and Westfield Road	Petition received at November 2017 TMS for the installation of controlled pedestrian crossing facilities at this junction.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: The petition update report at Jan 2018 TMS noted the challenges in implementing this facility within the traffic signal controlled junction and the need for traffic impact modelling, which will require external expertise. • Casualty Data: One slight vehicle accident reported in the latest 3 year period (up to September 2017). • Benefits/Impact: Improved pedestrian crossing facilities and reduced perception of this being an unsafe crossing. Likely to be a significant negative impact to traffic flow caused by the additional pedestrian phases within the signal timings. • Anticipated Costs: Modelling, design and safety audit - Medium. Implementation - High • Recommended Action: Retain.

Line No.	Ward	Type of Request / Proposal	Street	Location	Details	Officer Comments
48	Multiple: Church / Katesgrove / Redlands	20mph zone & pedestrian crossing	Northumberland Avenue	In the vicinity of Reading Girls School	Extension of the 20mph zone beyond Reading Girls School and improved crossing facility outside the school.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: CIL funding has been allocated to the extension of the nearby 20mph zone in this area - it may be possible to incorporate a form of crossing into the traffic calming features for the zone, depending on how far this funding allocation will stretch. There are different pedestrian crossing options that can be considered, such as a raised-level crossing or zebra crossing. These options all have compromises (e.g. the zebra crossing beacons narrowing the footway and requiring the expensive connection to electrical supplies) and all will be subject to finding a suitable location, considering the abundance of driveways in the vicinity of the school. This will also be a consideration for any traffic calming features, as well as the street being a bus route and an (likely) important emergency service vehicle route. • Casualty Data: No incidents involving casualties in the latest 3 year period (up to September 2017) where speeding has been considered a contributing factor. • Benefits/Impact: Reduced vehicle speeds, but need to consider the impact of the required traffic calming features on emergency service vehicles and residents (potentially increased traffic noise, driveway access/egress). Formalised crossing facility may reduce ad-hoc pedestrian crossing movements. • Anticipated Costs: High • Recommended Action: Retain (funding for 20mph expansion has been allocated).
49	Multiple: Katesgrove / Minster	Signing	London Road, Crown Street	Approaching the junction with Pell Street	Linked with the Elgar Road concerns, Officers have passed on concerns raised at NAG meetings, that HGVs are not noticing the weight limit signs for the Berkeley Avenue / A33 overbridge until they are on Pell Street.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: A signing review can be conducted to investigate signing alterations that can be used to better direct HGVs around this weight limit. • Casualty Data: No incidents in the latest 3 year period of data (up to June 2017) that can be attributed to this concern. • Benefits/Impact: Anticipated reduction in problematic vehicle movements. • Anticipated Costs: Medium - the works will likely require replacement of large strategic directional signs. • Recommended Action: Retain.

Line No.	Ward	Type of Request / Proposal	Street	Location	Details	Officer Comments
50	Multiple: Maple-durham / Thames	Signing	Conisboro Avenue / Sandcroft Road	At the bend in the road, where the streets meet.	Councillor requested, on behalf of residents, the installation of 'bend in the road' advance warning signs and a 'no through road' sign for Conisboro Avenue, to the north of this bend.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Casualty Data: The only recorded injury incident on our database was in 1995. • Benefits/Impact: Improve the advance 'visibility' of this corner and hopeful reduction in the number of non-injury incidents and 'near-misses' that are not reflected in the casualty data, but reported by residents. • Anticipated Costs: Low. This work, as requested, will not require consultation. Signs will not require illumination. • Recommended Action: Retain.
51	Multiple: Tilehurst / Kentwood	Pedestrian Crossing	Norcot Road	o/s 101	Councillor requested that the refuge island is converted to a full pedestrian crossing, as the island is too small for push chairs. This would also be a safety benefit for school children.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: This location is a significant distance from the nearest controlled crossings and near to the linking footway between Norcot Road and Wealden Way. It will be necessary to conduct surveys to assess the footfall and desire line for pedestrians and consider an appropriate facility. • Casualty Data: No incidents involving pedestrian casualties in the latest 3 year period (up to June 2017). • Benefits/Impact: Improved pedestrian crossing facilities. Potential reduction in vehicle speeds. • Anticipated Costs: Survey: Low. Implementation: High. • Recommended Action: Retain.
52	Multiple: Tilehurst / Kentwood	20mph zone	Westwood Road	Whole length	Request received for a reduced speed limit and traffic calming measures to be installed.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: If this proposal is developed, there would need to be supplementary traffic calming features added. There would need to careful consideration of the type of measure, as this is a bus route and will be a key emergency service vehicle route for parts of Tilehurst and beyond. • Casualty Data: No incidents involving casualties in the latest 3 year period (up to September 2017) where speeding has been considered a contributing factor. • Benefits/Impact: Reduced vehicle speeds, but need to consider the impact of the required traffic calming features on emergency service vehicles and residents (potentially increased traffic noise). • Anticipated Costs: High • Recommended Action: Retain.

Line No.	Ward	Type of Request / Proposal	Street	Location	Details	Officer Comments
53	Multiple: Tilehurst / Norcot	20mph	Elvaston Way & wider Tilehurst area	From Stanham Rd to Taff Way.	Raised by ward Councillor.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: Dee Road is already included in a 20mph zone but we could expand the zone to include Stanham Rd, Combe Rd, Elvaston Way, Tern Close and Taff Way. It would be beneficial to conduct surveys to assess vehicle speeds and appropriate measures. • Casualty Data: There have been 5 slight accidents reported in the latest 3 year period (up to April 2018) on Dee Road and Elvaston Way. Speed was not a causation factor for these incidents. • Benefits/Impact: Reduced vehicle speeds, but need to consider the impact of traffic calming features on emergency service vehicles and residents (potentially increased traffic noise). • Anticipated Costs: Survey: Low Implementation: Medium • Recommended Action: Retain.
54 (NEW)	Multiple: Various	Walking / Cycling Improvements	Various	Portman Road Palmer Park Caversham Bridge	Improved clarity of shared-use facilities. For example: installation of tiles	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: This has arisen from the Cycle Forum. • Casualty Data: N/A • Benefits/Impact: Clarifies the shared-use designation for all users. • Anticipated Costs: Low - medium (per site). • Recommended Action: Retain.
55	Norcot	Signing / Lining	Grovelands Road	At the double roundabout	Complaints from residents about vehicles speeding through the double mini roundabout. Ward Councillor has requested some amendments to emphasise the roundabouts and encourage vehicles to slow down.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: CIL funding has been allocated to this scheme. Potential for lining (potentially including some signing) alterations that could encourage vehicles to slow down and further highlight the presence of the roundabout. • Casualty Data: No incidents in the latest 3 year period of data (up to June 2017). • Benefits/Impact: Potential improvement in the compliance of the give-ways at the roundabout and a reduction in vehicle speeds on approach. • Anticipated Costs: Low - High, depending on signing and illumination requirements. • Recommended Action: Retain (funding has been allocated).

Line No.	Ward	Type of Request / Proposal	Street	Location	Details	Officer Comments
56 (NEW)	Park	No right turn	Liverpool Road	Approaching the junction with London Road	Councillor request to ban the right-turn onto London Road to reduce waiting times for traffic approaching the junction. Proposed that motorists wishing to turn right travel to the roundabout with the A3290 to come back into Reading.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: A survey could be conducted to ascertain how many vehicles are turning right from this junction. • Casualty Data: No incidents in the latest 3 year period of data (up to November 2018), • Benefits/Impact: Could reduce waiting times for traffic entering London Road, but this restriction is currently only enforceable by the Police. • Anticipated Costs: Low - high depending on signing and illumination requirements. • Recommended Action: Retain.
57 (NEW)	Park	Pedestrian Railings	Wokingham Road	South of the new car park exit at Alfred Sutton school	Request to install additional railings on the footway, southbound from the new car park exit, to encourage students to use the provided road crossings and not the traffic islands.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: Investigation would need to be conducted to ensure that the footway is sufficiently wide in this location. • Casualty Data: No incidents in the latest 3 year period of data (up to November 2018), • Benefits/Impact: The railings may improve the use of the pedestrian crossing facilities, although it is likely that determined students will continue to cross the road at the gap provided for the car park exit. Officers would be concerned that the further extension of railings (these are already used extensively in the area) could act as further deterrent to on-road cycling, as cyclists would be 'trapped' between motor vehicles and railings. It is for this reason, particularly in the context of the NCN422 project, that officers recommend against pursuing this request. • Anticipated Costs: Medium. • Recommended Action: Remove.
58	Peppard	Zebra Crossing	Caversham Park Road	In place of the uncontrolled crossing between Littlestead Close and the bus stop opposite.	Resident concern about difficulties in crossing the road, particularly for the elderly and for parents with young children. Resident would like a controlled crossing to be installed at this location to improve pedestrian safety.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: Officers have measured the visibility from the crossing, which meets design guidelines. The implementation of a controlled crossing will require movement of the bus stop and hard-standing on the verge and a re-profiling of the footway on the western side. • Casualty Data: No incidents involving casualties in the latest 3 year period (up to September 2017). • Benefits/Impact: Improved pedestrian crossing facilities. • Anticipated Costs: High • Recommended Action: Retain.

Line No.	Ward	Type of Request / Proposal	Street	Location	Details	Officer Comments
59	Redlands	Pedestrian Crossing	Addington Road	Between Addington / Erleigh Rd and Addington / Eastern Ave jcn	Request via NAG for a controlled crossing at this location.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: It would be beneficial to survey this vicinity to assess the footfall and any desire line for pedestrians crossing. This is within the 20mph zone and measures from imprinting to assisted crossings could be considered, if appropriate. • Casualty Data: No incidents involving pedestrian casualties in the latest 3 year period (up to June 2017). • Benefits/Impact: Improved pedestrian crossing facilities. Potential reduction in vehicle speeds. • Anticipated Costs: Survey: Low. Implementation: Low - High, depending on type of facility chosen, if appropriate. • Recommended Action: Retain.
60	Redlands	Road Marking	Morpeth Close	Entire Street	Councillor requested the investigation of installing parking bay markings to assist in easing some of the area parking issues.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: These marked bays would not have any legal waiting restriction behind them, so would not require formal consultation and a TRO. This will significantly reduce the resource requirements for the proposal. It is likely that the number of marked bays that could be installed will be lower than the number of vehicles that could park in the area at present, should they do so considerably. • Casualty Data: No incidents involving casualties in the latest 3 year period (up to September 2017). • Benefits/Impact: Potential improvement in parking management, but could reduce the parking capacity at times, when compared with the current unmanaged area. • Anticipated Costs: Low (lining only). • Recommended Action: Retain.

Line No.	Ward	Type of Request / Proposal	Street	Location	Details	Officer Comments
61 (NEW)	Redlands	Resurfacing	The Mount	Garaging area	The 2017B waiting restriction review programme included new bays in the garaging area of the Mount (Redlands ward). It was noted during the consultation process that we might not be able to install lining due to the condition of the road. Following inspection from lining contractors, it has been agreed that the road will require resurfacing for the lining to be completed. We have until the 8th Feb 2020 to install the restriction.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: There will need to be investigation of the makeup of the ground, as this area may need significant construction improvements prior to surfacing. • Casualty Data: N/A • Benefits/Impact: Allow full implementation of the agreed bay restrictions in the garaging area. • Anticipated Costs: Investigation: Medium, Implementation: High - Very High. • Recommended Action: Remain, but works would need to be completed before 8th February 2020 to enable implementation of the agreed parking restriction.
62	Redlands	Pedestrian Crossing	Upper Redlands Road	Near to St Josephs College and at junction with Alexandra Road.	Request received for improved pedestrian crossing facilities to the east of Alexandra Road. Suggestion made for turning the speed cushions into a full-width raised crossing (with imprinting on top), although a controlled crossing is preferred. Also requested improvements at the junction with Alexandra Road to improve the crossing for pedestrians and to reduce the carriageway with the intention of reducing vehicle speeds.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: An uncontrolled crossing will be significantly less costly, compared with a controlled crossing (e.g. zebra or traffic signals), as it will not require electrical connections. The footway widths will also be a consideration, should any beacons/posts need to be installed for a controlled facility. Footway build-outs could be costly, particularly if utility apparatus or Highway drainage is affected. • Casualty Data: One slight accident in the latest 3 year period (up to April 2018) to the east of Alexandra Road. One pedestrian casualty but speeding not a contributing factor. • Benefits/Impact: Improved pedestrian crossing facility, but consideration needs to be made to the impact on emergency service and public transport vehicles, should a full-width raised crossing be installed. Potential reductions in vehicle speeds, depending on the measures to be implemented. • Anticipated Costs: Medium (uncontrolled) to very high (signalised). • Recommended Action: Retain.

Line No.	Ward	Type of Request / Proposal	Street	Location	Details	Officer Comments
63 (NEW)	Southcote	Walking / Cycling Improvements	Southcote Farm Lane	Southcote Farm Lane & off-carriageway links to Southcote Primary School	Improve surface of Southcote Farm Lane and convert routes linking to Southcote Primary School to shared-use	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: This has arisen from the Cycle Forum. • Casualty Data: N/A - this request relates to improved access. • Benefits/Impact: Provides additional and improved access options for cyclists. • Anticipated Costs: This will depend on the extent of the scheme. • Recommended Action: Retain.
64	Thames	Speed Calming	Albert Road	Entire length	Councillor request to install speed calming measures along the length of Albert Road, following requests from residents. Also to consider 'pushing out' the Highmoor Road junction stop line. Report to TMSC in September 2017 provides indicative costs for speed calming measures.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: Previous reports to TMSC, relating to Highmoor Road/Albert Road jcn Highway safety, have identified traffic speeds and have made clear the causes of casualty and fatality incidents. • Casualty Data: Latest 3 year period (up to June 2017) show no incidents involving casualties, where speeding has been considered as a contributing factor. Speed surveys in 2016 recorded average speeds at 23.1mph (northbound) and 23.7mph (southbound). Casualty data for Highmoor Road junction have previously been reported at TMSC. • Benefits/Impact: Depending on options considered, traffic speeds could be reduced by speed calming. This could have a negative impact for public transport and emergency service vehicles and create additional traffic noise for residents. The movement of the Highmoor Road stop line could improve visibility when exiting the road. • Anticipated Costs: High. Traffic calming costs will depend on the chosen feature. Movement of the stop line will likely require planing and resurfacing of the junction to remove the existing lining and faded red surfacing. • Recommended Action: Retain.
65	Thames	Pedestrian Crossing	Rotherfield Way	South-west of its junction with Surley Row	A petition to install 'safe crossing places' on Rotherfield Way was reported to Jan 2016 TMSC. An update report went to March 2016 TMSC. A further update report (with an outline zebra crossing design) was reported to June 2016 TMSC.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: This scheme is awaiting funding to enable it to progress to detailed design and implementation. Ground investigation works will determine the deliverability of the proposal. • Casualty Data: Previously reported to TMSC. • Benefits/Impact: Improved pedestrian crossing facilities. Potential reduction in vehicle speeds. • Anticipated Costs: Estimated £20,000 (June 2016) • Recommended Action: Retain.

Line No.	Ward	Type of Request / Proposal	Street	Location	Details	Officer Comments
66	Tilehurst	Pedestrian Crossing	Chapel Hill	Near to junction with Normanstead Road	Request for pedestrian crossing facility to assist with walking to/from Birch Copse primary school with complimentary speed calming measures also.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: An uncontrolled crossing will be significantly less costly, compared with a controlled crossing (e.g. zebra or traffic signals), as it will not require electrical connections. Options such as a raised table with inprinting could be considered - this could compliment the separate request for traffic calming along the street. • Casualty Data: No recorded incidents within the latest 3 year period (up to April 2018). • Benefits/Impact: Reduced vehicle speeds, but need to consider the impact of traffic calming features on residents (potentially increased traffic noise). The enforcement of width restrictions is done only by the police. • Anticipated Costs: High • Recommended Action: Retain.
67	Tilehurst	Pedestrian Crossing	Church End Lane	In the vicinity of Moorlands Primary School	Petition received at November 2017 TMS for the installation of controlled pedestrian crossing facilities at this junction.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: The petition update report at Jan 2018 TMS noted that potential development works at the school could realise some funding availability for implementing an enhanced crossing facility. Once this funding has been identified, it was recommended that Officers look at options with the school, which need not be controlled crossing facilities, such as a zebra crossing. • Casualty Data: One slight vehicle accident reported in the latest 3 year period (up to September 2017). No pedestrians involved. • Benefits/Impact: Improved pedestrian crossing facilities. • Anticipated Costs: Medium to High, depending on the type of facility. It is hoped that this could be funded from proposed development works at the school. • Recommended Action: Retain.
68	Tilehurst	20mph zone & One-way plug	Recreation Road	Entire length, considering Blundells Road also.	A petition to September 2014 TMS requested measures to address rat-running traffic and perceived traffic speeding issues. The petition included a request for 20mph speed limits and consideration of a one-way plug.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: It would be beneficial to conduct speed and traffic flow surveys (the traffic flow surveys should be conducted during - and outside of - school holidays) to provide the data for consideration in any proposals. • Benefits/Impact: Reduced traffic volumes and reduced vehicle speeds. • Anticipated Costs: Survey: Low. Implementation: Medium - High, depending on proposals for the scheme. • Recommended Action: Retain.

Line No.	Ward	Type of Request / Proposal	Street	Location	Details	Officer Comments
69	Tilehurst	20mph & Pedestrian Crossing	School Road	Outside The Laurels	Concerns raised regarding perceived vehicle speeds and distance to the nearest assisted crossing point. Requested to consider lowering the speed limit and enhanced crossing facility in this location.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: Considering the proximity to the school, we would need to survey pedestrian flows and consider implementing a controlled crossing (e.g. zebra crossing). • Casualty Data: No incidents involving casualties in the latest 3 year period (up to June 2017) where speeding has been considered a contributing factor, or where pedestrians crossing the street have been injured. • Benefits/Impact: Improved pedestrian crossing facilities, particularly beneficial at school drop-off/pick-up times. Potential reduction in vehicle speeds. • Anticipated Costs: Survey: Low. Implementation: High. • Recommended Action: Retain.
70	Tilehurst	Lining Alteration	The Meadway	Roundabout with St Michaels Road	Request to review lining on approaches ('unnecessary' 2 lane approaches) to encourage correct use of the roundabout and reduce the number of vehicles cutting across it.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: Officers agree that reducing the number of lanes on approach to this mini roundabout could have a positive impact on driver behaviour and improve compliance. • Casualty Data: 1 serious and 2 slight injuries in the latest 3 year period (up to June 2017), where vehicles have failed to give way. However, these incidents were recorded with a number of contributing factors. • Benefits/Impact: Improved driver behaviour and compliance at the roundabout. • Anticipated Costs: Low - Medium. • Recommended Action: Retain.
71	Tilehurst	Road Marking	The Triangle	Junction with St Micheal's Road	Request for review of existing road marking to highlight the no entry from St Micheal's Road. Possible hatching on both sides and remove existing centre line marking on The Triangle	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: Ward councillor and residents have raised the issue of vehicle travelling against the one-way/No Entry from St Micheal's Road into The Triangle. • Casualty Data: No recorded incidents within the latest 3 year period (up to Feb 2018) • Benefits/Impact: Highlight the 'no entry' point. • Anticipated Costs: Low • Recommended Action: Retain.

Line No.	Ward	Type of Request / Proposal	Street	Location	Details	Officer Comments
72	Tilehurst	Pedestrian Crossing	Westwood Road	Junction with School Road	Request received to install improved pedestrian crossing facilities (ideally controlled) near to the roundabout with School Road.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General: An uncontrolled crossing will be significantly less costly, compared with a controlled crossing (e.g. zebra or traffic signals), as it will not require electrical connections. Options such as a raised table with inprinting could be considered - this could compliment the separate request for traffic calming along the street. • Casualty Data: No incidents involving pedestrian casualties in the latest 3 year period (up to March 2018). • Benefits/Impact: Improved pedestrian crossing facility, but consideration needs to be made to the impact on emergency service and public transport vehicles, should a full-width raised crossing be installed. Potential reductions in vehicle speeds, depending on the measures to be implemented. • Anticipated Costs: Medium (uncontrolled) to very high (signalised). • Recommended Action: Retain.

This table is arranged by Ward (A-Z), then by Street (A-Z)